arXiv:1703.07516v1 [math.AG] 22 Mar 2017

Irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of smooth curves in $\mathbb{P}^4$ of degree $g+2$ and genus g

Changho Keem and Yun-Hwan Kim

Abstract. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$ the Hilbert scheme of smooth curves, which is the union of components whose general point corresponds to a smooth irreducible and non-degenerate curve of degree d and genus g in $\mathbb{P}^r$. In this note, we show that any non-empty $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is irreducible without any restriction on the genus g. Our result augments the irreducibility result obtained earlier by Hristo Iliev(2006), in which several low genus $g \leq 10$ cases have been left untreated.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 14H10; Secondary 14C05.

Keywords. Hilbert scheme, algebraic curves, linear series.

1. An overview, preliminaries and basic set-up

Given non-negative integers d, g and $r \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$ be the Hilbert scheme of smooth curves parametrizing smooth irreducible and non-degenerate curves of degree d and genus g in $\mathbb{P}^r$.

After Severi asserted that $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$ is irreducible for $d \geq g+r$ in [14, Anhang G, p. 368] with an incomplete proof, the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$ has been studied by several authors. Most noteworthy result regarding the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$ is due to L. Ein, who proved Severi's claim for & $r = 4$ (& for $r = 3$ as well); cf. [7, Theorem 7] & [6, Theorem 4], see also [11, Theorem 1.5], [13, Proposition 2.1 & Proposition 3.2], [9, Theorem 3.1], [10, Theorem 2.1] for a different proof and several extensions concerning the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,3}$ in the range $d \geq g$.

For smooth curves in $\mathbb{P}^4$, the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{g+3,g,4}$ $(g \geq 5)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ $(g \geq 11)$ has been pushed forward by Hristo Iliev [9, Theorem 3.2] beyond the range $d \geq g+4$ which has been known by a work of L. Ein [7, Theorem 7]. However, the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ (and the non-emptyness as well) for lower genus $g \leq 10$ has been left unsettled. In this article, we

Both authors were not supported by National Research Foundation.

show that $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is non-empty and irreducible for $7 \leq g \leq 10$ which in turn implies (together with [9, Theorem 3.2(b)]) that any non-empty $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is irreducible without any restriction on the genus g; cf. Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Remark 2.5.

Before proceeding, we recall several related results which are rather well-known; cf. [1] and [2]. Let $\mathcal{M}_g$ be the moduli space of smooth curves of genus g. For any given isomorphism class $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ corresponding to a smooth irreducible curve C, there exist a neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ of the class $[C]$ and a smooth connected variety $\mathcal{M}$ which is a finite ramified covering $h : \mathcal{M} \to U$, as well as varieties $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{W}^r_d$ and $\mathcal{G}^r_d$ proper over $\mathcal{M}$ with the following properties:

(1) $\xi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a universal curve, i.e. for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$, $\xi^{-1}(p)$ is a smooth curve of genus g whose isomorphism class is $h(p)$,
(2) $\mathcal{W}^r_d$ parametrizes the pairs (p, L) where L is a line bundle of degree d and $h^0(L) \geq r+1$ on $\xi^{-1}(p)$,
(3) $\mathcal{G}^r_d$ parametrizes the couples $(p, \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is possibly an incomplete linear series of degree d and dimension r on $\xi^{-1}(p)$ - which is usually denoted by g^r_d.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the union of components of $\mathcal{G}^r_d$ whose general element $(p, \mathcal{D})$ corresponds to a very ample linear series $\mathcal{D}$ on the curve $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$. Note that an open subset of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$ consisting of points corresponding to smooth irreducible and non-degenerate curves is a $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(r+1)$-bundle over an open subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Hence the irreducibility of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ guarantees the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$. We also make a note of the following well-known facts regarding the scheme $\mathcal{G}^r_d$; cf. [1], [4, Chapt. 21, §3, 5, 6, 11,12] and [8, §2.a, p. 67].

Proposition 1.1. *For non-negative integers d, g and r, let $\rho(d, g, r) := g - (r+1)(g-d+r)$ be the Brill-Noether number.*

(1) *The dimension of any component of $\mathcal{G}^r_d$ is at least $3g - 3 + \rho(d, g, r)$ which is denoted by $\lambda(d, g, r)$.*
(2) *$\mathcal{G}^1_d$ is smooth and irreducible of dimension $\lambda(d, g, 1)$ if $g > 1, d \geq 2$ and $d \leq g + 1$.*

We will utilize the following upper bound of the dimension of a certain irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^r_d$, which was proved and used effectively in [9].

Proposition 1.2 ([9, Proposition 2.1])**.** *Let d, g and $r \geq 2$ be positive integers such that $d \leq g + r - 2$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^r_d$. For a general elment $(p, L) \in \mathcal{W}$, let b be the degree of the base locus of the line bundle $L = |D|$ on $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$. Assume further that for a general $(p, L) \in \mathcal{W}$ the curve $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$ is not hyperelliptic. If the moving part of $L = |D|$ is very ample and $r \geq 3$, then*

$$\dim \mathcal{W} \leq 3d + g + 1 - 5r - 2b.$$

For notations and conventions, we usually follow those in [3] and [4]; e.g. $\pi(d,r)$ is the maximal possible arithmetic genus of an irreducible and non-degenerate curve of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^r$; $\pi(d,r) := \binom{m}{2}(r-1)+m\epsilon$ where $m = \left[\frac{d-1}{r-1}\right]$ and $d-1 = m(r-1)+\epsilon$. Throughout we work over the field of complex numbers.

2. Irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$

We first recall that the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{g+3,g,4}$ for $g \geq 5$ has been shown by Hristo Iliev; [9, Theorem 3.2(a)]. We also remark that this result of Hristo Iliev has been stated with the fullest possible generality; note that $\pi(g+3,4) < g$ for $g \leq 4$ and hence $\mathcal{H}_{g+3,g,4} = \emptyset$ if $g \leq 4$.

In the same vein, one may easily see that $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4} = \emptyset$ for $g \leq 6$; by the Castelnuovo genus bound, one checks that there is no smooth and non-degenerate curve in $\mathbb{P}^4$ of degree $g+2$ and genus g if $g \leq 6$. Therefore in conjunction with the theorem of Hristo Iliev [9, Theorem 3.2(b)], i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ being irreducible for $g \geq 11$, we shall assume $7 \leq g \leq 10$ for the rest of this section. The main result of this article is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *$\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is irreducible for any g with $7 \leq g \leq 10$.*

Consequently Theorem 2.1 together with a result of Hristo Iliev [9, Theorem 3.2(b)] readily imply the following statement.

Corollary 2.2. *Any non-empty $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is irreducible.*

Remark 2.3. It is worthwhile to note that the genus range $g \geq 11$ in [9, Theorem 3.2(b)] is exactly the range where the Brill-Noether number $\rho(g+2,g,4)$ is strictly positive so that there exists a unique component of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ dominating the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$. For curves of genus g in the range $7 \leq g \leq 10$ – in which case $\rho(g+2,g,4) \leq 0$ – we will see in Remark 2.5 that $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4} \neq \emptyset$ and the unique component of $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is indeed the component which dominates the irreducible locus $\mathcal{M}^1_{g,g-4}$ in $\mathcal{M}_g$ consisting of $(g-4)$-gonal curves.

The following lemma, which is an intermediate step toward the proof of the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$, asserts that a general element in any component of $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ corresponds to a smooth curve in $\mathbb{P}^4$ which is linearly normal.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}^4_{g+2}$ be an irreducible component whose general element $(p,\mathcal{D})$ is a very ample linear series $\mathcal{D}$ on the curve $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$ and assume $7 \leq g \leq 10$. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{D}$ is complete and $\dim \mathcal{G} = 4g-13$.*
2. *a general element of the component $\mathcal{W}^\vee \subset \mathcal{W}^1_{g-4}$ consisting of the residual series (with respect to the canonical series on the corresponding curve) of those elements in $\mathcal{G}$ is a complete pencil.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\lambda(g+2,g,4) = 3g-3+\rho(g+2,g,4) = 4g-13 \le \dim \mathcal{G}.$$

We set $r := h^0(C,|\mathcal{D}|)-1$ for a general $(p,\mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}^r_{g+2}$ be the component containing the image of the natural rational map $\mathcal{G} \overset{\iota}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{W}^r_{g+2}$ with $\iota(\mathcal{D}) = |\mathcal{D}|$. Since $\dim \mathcal{G} \le \dim \mathcal{W} + \dim \mathbb{G}(4,r)$, it follows by Proposition 1.2 that

$$\lambda(g+2,g,4) = 4g-13 \le \dim \mathcal{G} \le (4g+7-5r)+5(r-4) = 4g-13,$$

hence

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = 4g-13 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{W} = 4g+7-5r. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{W}^\vee \subset \mathcal{W}^{r-3}_{g-4}$ be the locus consisting of the residual series (with respect to the canonical series on the corresponding curve) of those elements in $\mathcal{W}$, i.e. $\mathcal{W}^\vee = \{(p, \omega_C \otimes L^{-1}) : (p,L) \in \mathcal{W}\}$.

Assume that $r \ge 5$ and we will argue by contradiction for each g with $7 \le g \le 10$.

(a) $g = 7$: We have g^2_3 on $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$ corresponding to an element in $\mathcal{W}^\vee \subset \mathcal{W}^{r-3}_{g-4}$, contradicting Clifford's theorem.

(b) $g = 8$: We have g^2_4 on $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$ corresponding to an element in $\mathcal{W}^\vee \subset \mathcal{W}^{r-3}_{g-4}$, hence C is hyperelliptic by Clifford's theorem. However a hyperelliptic curve of genus g cannot have a very ample g^4_{g+2}.

(c) $g = 9$: We have g^2_5 on $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$ and since C is not a plane quintic, our g^2_5 has a base point and hence C is a hyperelliptic curve, which cannot have a very ample g^4_{g+2}.

(d) $g = 10$: In this case, we have either a g^2_6 (when $r = 5$) or a g^3_6 (when $r = 6$) on $C = \xi^{-1}(p)$ corresponding to an element in $\mathcal{W}^\vee \subset \mathcal{W}^{r-3}_{g-4}$. If there were a g^3_6, C is an hyperelliptic curve on which there does not exist a very ample g^4_{g+2}. Therefore $r = 5$. Note that our g^2_6 is base-point-free, for otherwise the same reasoning as in (c) applies. Therefore it follows that C is either trigonal with a unique trigonal pencil g^1_3 so that $2g^1_3 = g^2_6$ or a smooth plane sextic with $|K_C - g^2_6| = |2g^2_6| = g^5_{12}$, where g^2_6 is the unique very ample net of degree 6. Suppose that C is a smooth plane sextic. We recall that two smooth plane curves of the same degree $d \ge 4$ are isomorphic if and only if they are projectively equivalent. Hence the family of smooth plane curves of degree $d = 6$ moves in $\dim \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d)) - \dim \mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(3) = \frac{d(d+3)}{2} - \dim \mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(3) = 27 - 8 = 19$ dimensional locus $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\mathcal{M}_g$. In the sequence of natural rational maps $\mathcal{G} \overset{\iota}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{W} \overset{\zeta}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{W}^\vee \overset{\eta}{\dashrightarrow} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{M}_g$, we note that the rational map ζ – which takes a complete linear series to its residual series – is clearly birational and the projection map η is also birational by the uniqueness of g^2_6. Therefore we have $\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W}^\vee = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = 19$, contradicting (2.1). If C is trigonal with $g^2_6 = 2g^1_3$, we also have a sequence of rational maps $\mathcal{G} \overset{\iota}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{W} \overset{\zeta}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{W}^\vee \overset{\eta}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{M}^1_{g,3} \subset \mathcal{M}_g$, where

$\mathcal{M}^1_{g,3}$ is the irreducible locus of trigonal curves of genus g. Again the projection map η is birational since there exists a unique trigonal pencil on any trigonal curve of genus g when $g \geq 5$. Hence $\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W}^\vee = \dim \mathcal{M}^1_{g,3} = 2g+1 = 21$, again contradicting (2.1).

Therefore it finally follows that $r = 4$ and by (2.1), we have

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W}^\vee = 4g - 13. \tag{2.2}$$

The second statement (2) is obvious from (1). □

The irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ follows easily as an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.4 together with Proposition 1.1(2).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Retaining the same notations as before, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the union of irreducible components $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{G}^4_{g+2}$ whose general element corresponds to a pair $(p, \mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathcal{D}$ is very ample linear series on $C := \xi^{-1}(p)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee$ be the union of the components $\mathcal{W}^\vee$ of $\mathcal{W}^1_{g-4}$, where $\mathcal{W}^\vee$ consists of the residual series of elements in a component $\mathcal{G}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. By Lemma 2.4 (or (2.2)),

$$\dim \mathcal{W}^\vee = \dim \mathcal{G} = 4g - 13 = \lambda(g-4, g, 1) = \dim \mathcal{G}^1_{g-4}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since a general element of any component $\mathcal{W}^\vee \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee \subset \mathcal{W}^1_{g-4}$ is a complete pencil by Lemma 2.4, there is a natural rational map $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee \overset{\kappa}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{G}^1_{g-4}$ with $\kappa(|\mathcal{D}|) = \mathcal{D}$ which is clearly injective on an open subset $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee o}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee$ consisting of those which are complete pencils. Therefore the rational map κ is dominant by (2.3). We also note that there is another natural rational map $\mathcal{G}^1_{g-4} \overset{\iota}{\dashrightarrow} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee$ with $\iota(\mathcal{D}) = |\mathcal{D}|$, which is an inverse to κ (wherever it is defined). Therefore it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee$ is birationally equivalent to the irreducible locus $\mathcal{G}^1_{g-4}$, hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\vee$ is irreducible and so is $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is a $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(5)$-bundle over an open subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ is irreducible. □

Remark 2.5. We finally remark that $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4} \neq \emptyset$ for $7 \leq g \leq 10$. As was suggested by the proof of Theorem 2.1 one may argue that $\mathcal{H}_{g+2,g,4}$ dominates (and is a $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(5)$)-bundle over) the irreducible locus $\mathcal{M}^1_{g,g-4}$ consisting of $(g-4)$-gonal curves as follows. Recall that the Clifford index e of a general $(e+2)$-gonal curve of genus $g \geq 2e+2$ can only be computed by the unique pencil computing the gonality as long as $e \neq 0$, i.e. there does not exist a g^r_{2r+e} with $2r+e \leq g-1, r \geq 2$; cf. [5, Theorem] or [12, Corollary 1]. Therefore on a general $(g-4)$-gonal curve C, the residual series of the unique g^1_{g-4} is a very ample g^4_{g+2}; for otherwise there exists a $g^2_{g-2} = g^1_{g-4} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)$ for some $p, q \in C$, computing the Clifford index of a general $(g-4)$-gonal curve contradicting the result just mentioned.

References

[1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, *Su una congetura di Petri.* Comment. Math. Helv., **56** (1981), 1–38.

[2] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, *A few remarks about the variety of irreducible plane curves of given degree and genus.* Ann. Sei. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), 467–483.

[3] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves Vol.I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York/Tokyo, 1985.

[4] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves Vol.II.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York/Tokyo, 2011.

[5] E. Ballico, *On the Clifford index of algebraic curves.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1986), 217–218.

[6] L. Ein, *Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves.* Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4), **19** (1986), no. 4, 469–478.

[7] L. Ein. *The irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of complex space curves*, in Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, 83-87, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987.

[8] J. Harris, *Curves in Projective space.* in "Sem. Math. Sup.", Press Univ. Montréal, Montréal, 1982.

[9] H. Iliev, *On the irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of space curves.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134** (2006), no. 10, 2823–2832.

[10] C. Keem and Y. Kim, *Irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of smooth curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree g and genus g.* Archiv der Mathematik, to appear.

[11] C. Keem and S. Kim, *Irreducibility of a subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of complex space curves.* J. Algebra, **145** (1992), no. 1, 240–248.

[12] C. Keem and S. Kim, *On the Clifford index of a general $(e+2)$-gonal curve.* Manuscripta Math., **63** (1989), 83–88.

[13] C. Keem, Y. Kim and A.F. Lopez, *Irreducibility and components rigid in moduli of the Hilbert Scheme of smooth curves.* Preprint, `arXiv:1605.00297 [math.AG]`, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.00297

[14] F. Severi, *Vorlesungen uber algebraische Geometrie.* Teubner, Leipzig, 1921.

Changho Keem
Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University
Seoul 151-742, South Korea
e-mail: `ckeem1@gmail.com`

Yun-Hwan Kim
Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University
Seoul 151-742, South Korea
e-mail: `yunttang@snu.ac.kr`